

METERING DATA PROVISION PROCEDURES: DRAFT REPORT AND DETERMINATION AND DRAFT PROCEDURES – PARTICIPANT RESPONSE PACK

METERING DATA PROVISION PROCEDURES PACKAGE

Participant: ActewAGL Distribution

Completion Date: 21 July 2015

Table of Contents

1. DRAFT METERING DATA PROVISION PROCEDURES	3
---	---

1. Draft Metering Data Provision Procedures

Item	Description	Participant Comments
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Purpose and scope	No comment
1.2	Definitions and interpretation	No comment
1.3	Related AEMO procedures	No comment
2	IDENTITY VERIFICATION AND DATA DELIVERY TIMEFRAMES	
2.1	Verifying the identity of a retail customer or customer authorised representative	No additional comment
2.2	Retail customer request	No additional comment
2.3	Customer authorised representative	No additional comment
3	DATA DELIVERY METHOD	
3.1	Delivering summary data	No comment
3.2	Delivering detailed data	No comment
3.3	File naming conventions	No comment
3.4	Numbering of metering data files to be provided	No additional comment
4	DATA FILE CONTENT	
4.1	Field details – format and unit of measure	<p>Adding that this is a subset of the NEM12/13 spec adds no value, especially as you have specified the allowed values.</p> <p>Current wording</p> <p>(a) Data fields for detailed and summary metering data files must use these permitted values (a subset of units of measure detailed in the Metering Data File Format Specification NEM12 & NEM13). Note that the permitted values for unit of measure are not case sensitive.</p>

Meter Data Provision Procedures Package

Item	Description	Participant Comments
		<p>Proposed wording</p> <p>(a) Data fields for detailed and summary metering data files must use these permitted values. Note that the permitted values for unit of measure are not case sensitive.</p>
4.2	Accumulated metering data summary	<p>Disagree with AEMO comments that they believe it will improve customer interaction. Agree with majority of industry respondents in First Stage Consultation, that this will cause unnecessary confusion/additional work/added costs.</p> <p>Providing a diagrammatic representation should be optional not mandatory. Most retailers, as per their NERR obligations (or as a competitive advantage), already provide a diagrammatic view of a customer's usage on their bills. Why does this need to be duplicated, as the customer authorised representative cannot use it, and the customer already has it? Does not add value to this process.</p> <p>Revised wording (remove point II or add a new paragraph with this as a "may include")</p> <p>(a)</p> <p>II. A diagrammatic and numerical representation of the usage information.</p> <p>Or reword</p> <p>(a) The accumulated metering data summary at a minimum:</p> <p>I. Must include the nature and extent of energy usage.</p> <p>II. May include a diagrammatic and numerical representation of the usage information.</p>
4.3	Interval metering data summary	No comment
4.4	Detailed data format	No comment
4.5	Ability to offer alternative metering data formats	No additional comment
5	OTHER COMMENTS	
Appendix A	ACCUMULATED METERING DATA SUMMARY FORMAT	

Meter Data Provision Procedures Package

Item	Description	Participant Comments
A.1	File conditions	No comment
A.2	Example: accumulated file	No comment
A.3	Example: diagrammatic representation of energy usage	see comments above at 4.2 as adds no value to this process
Appendix B	INTERVAL METERING DATA SUMMARY FORMAT	
B.1	File conditions	No comment
B.2	Example: interval file	No comment
B.3	Example: diagrammatic representation of energy usage	No comment